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#### Abstract

The problem of calculating the set of all primitive roots of an arbitrary prime number is considered. The algorithm for checking the natural number by the property of being the primitive root of a given prime number is constructed. The properties of the structures of recursive cycles of primitive roots are investigated. It is proved that all primitive roots of any prime number form pairs in which the recursive cycle of one is the inverse of the recursive cycle of the other element of the pair. The possibilities of representing recursive cycles in two-dimensional space are investigated. It is shown that recursive cycles are form dynamic processes.
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## I. Introduction

In modern as pure, and applied mathematics the theory of prime numbers has an exceptional appeal. The solution of many problems of modern theory of prime numbers will make it possible, on the one hand, to deepen the idea of how to develop the fundamental foundations of mathematics, and it will allow creating more and more effective arithmetic methods for constructing fast algorithms for discrete orthogonal transformations in the analysis and processing of complex data [2]. To the complex data is the modern area of Big Data Science [3], signal processing, cryptography [4] and others.

The experience of working on the problems of pure and applied mathematics shows that there are unsolved mathematical problems whose solution is important both for deepening and developing new methods for solving complex problems of pure mathematics and for creating effective algorithms for solving problems from applied fields, some of which are listed above.

Until now, the unproved validity of the Artin's conjecture [5] according to which, the natural number which is not $0, \pm 1$, and the perfect square, then the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x, a)=c(a) \cdot \pi(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi(x)$ - number of primes $\leq x, \pi(x, a)$ the number of primes for which $a$ is the primitive root, $c(a)$ - constant depends only on the value $a$. In [6] we formulated the generalized Artin's conjecture hypothesis, and contemporaneous the ways of solving it were determined by means of experimental mathematics [7, 8]. Certainly, any results obtained on the basis of computer modeling should be further proved by analytical methods [9].

Simply attracting analytical methods to solve this Artin's hypothesis and its generalization at the moment is not possible. The existence of a constant $c(a)$ in (1) confirms a simple consideration, namely, that there is must be a procedure for regular sifting of primes for any number for which $a$ is an primitive root. In [10] it is proved that such prime numbers are infinitely many such prime numbers. Until now, it has not been proved by what properties all prime numbers have, for which $a$ is the primitive root, that is, $a$ is the generating element of the cyclic group $\left(Z / Z_{p}\right) *$ for any $p \in P$, where $P$ is the set of all primes [12].

To solve this problem, it makes sense to solve initially a different, as it seems to us, simpler problem. For some simple number $p$, need to find all its primordial roots and explore their properties. Obviously, if $a$ is primitive root of number $p$ that it is sufficient to consider $a<p$. In general case, the number $a$ can be a composite, but not $\pm 1$ and a perfect square. It is known that for any $p$ number of its primitive roots is equal to $\varphi(p-1)$, where $\varphi$ - Euler's function. With increasing $p$, the number of primitive roots increases. Let $m_{i}$ be some primitive root of a prime number $p$. Let's pretend that $m_{p}=\left\{m_{1 p}, m_{2 p}, \ldots, m_{\varphi(p-1) p}\right\}$ - set of all primitive roots, of a prime number $p$. Potentially primitive roots of a prime number $p$ can be any number from 2 to $p-1$, except those that are perfect squares.

Checking the number $m$ for the possibility of being the primitive root of a prime number $p$ is computationally complex from an algorithmic point of view if take into
consideration that the number of checks increases with increasing $p$. In addition, for any prime number, it is not a simple task to calculate the Euler's function $\varphi(p-1)$, which is defined by expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(p-1)=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}-p_{i}^{\alpha_{i-1}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad p-1=\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$ - its prime factorization. The computation of the Euler's function itself is computationally a simple task. A much more complicated problem is the factorization of the number $p-1$. If $p-1$ not a large number, for example of the order of $10^{6}$, then the factorization problem is solved quite simply. At significantly higher values, computational difficulties of subexponential character arise. To solve the factorization problem, were used the methods described in [12].

## II. CALCULATION A PRIMITIVE ROOTS OF PRIME NUMBER AND THE ANALYSIS OF THEIR PROPERTIES

According to the little Fermat's theorem, if the number $m$ is a primitive root of the number p , then condition must be satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition is necessary, but not sufficient. For this reason, it is necessary to perform a check on the more complicated procedure which given in the monograph [12]. Let a prime number $p$ be given and a candidate for primitive roots be $m$. We perform factorization
$p-1$ presenting $p-1=\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$ and for each prime factor from $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{k}\right\}$ we check that condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{\frac{p-1}{p_{i}}} \neq 1(\bmod p) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this, a recursive procedure is implemented

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=m x_{n}(\bmod p), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

at $x_{0}=1$ to $n+1=\frac{p-1}{p_{i}}$ and the above condition (4) must be satisfied at the last step of the recursion.

Suppose that for a certain number condition (4) is satisfied, then we compute a sequence of values

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{p}=1, x_{n+1}=m x_{n}(\bmod p) \text { to } x_{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we obtain the vector $\left(x_{1 \cdot m_{1}}, x_{2 \cdot m_{1}}, \ldots, x_{(p-r) \cdot m_{1}}\right)$ of lenght $p-2$. Such vectors are constructed for all

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i} \in m_{p}=\left\{m_{1 \cdot p}, m_{2 \cdot p}, \ldots, m_{(p-1) \cdot p}\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that for all primitive roots of the set $m$ all vectors have the same length equal to $p-2$. The set of such vectors is the basis for analyzing the properties of the set of primitive roots of a prime number $p$. Note that the recursion cycle for the primitive root $m_{i}$ actually has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1, x_{1 \cdot m_{i}}, x_{2 \cdot m_{i}}, \ldots, x_{(p-1) \cdot m_{i}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last unit refers to the next cycle, and therefore the cycle's length is $p-1$, which agrees with Fermat's little theorem [1]. The analysis of cycles (orbits) of recursions for the set of all primitive roots allowed us to establish, that for any $m_{i \cdot p} \in\left\{m_{1 \cdot p}, m_{2 \cdot p}, \ldots, m_{\varphi(p-1) \cdot p}\right\}$ there is always $m_{j \cdot p}$-if $j \neq p$, that a recursive cycle $m_{i \cdot p}$ without the first unit is the inversion of the cycle $m_{j \cdot p}$. In essence, the set $\left\{m_{1 \cdot p}, m_{2 \cdot p}, \ldots, m_{\varphi(p-1) \cdot p}\right\}$ decomposes into pairs of primitive roots. This is a new property of the set of primitive roots that was not previously known. The number of primitive roots is greatest for primes $p^{*}=Z_{p}+1$ for $p^{*} \& p \in P$, which are usually called prime numbers by Sophie Germain and the smallest number of smooth prime numbers [12]. For various $p \in P$ the number of compound primitive roots is always significantly larger than the number of simple primitive roots. This is explained simply enough, since $\varphi(p-1)$ shows the number of natural numbers that are relatively prime to $p-1$.

Each primitive root is the predecessor of the group $\left(Z / Z_{p}\right)^{*}$.

In addition, each of them generates a set of pseudorandom numbers. If we average over the set of all cycles, we get a pseudo-random sequence in which all randomness tests allow us to state that in this sequence there are no inner cycles in any form.


Figure 1. The interaction of recursive cycles in a two-dimensional system.
Actually, based on the facts about the primitive roots of a prime number, the following model for the study of the set of
primes is added up, for which a given number $a$ is a primitive root. Suppose $a$ is chosen and it is established that for some $p, a$ is a primitive root. We find a set of primitive roots of the number $m_{p}=\left\{m_{1 \cdot p}, m_{2 \cdot p}, \ldots, m_{\varphi(p-1) \cdot p}\right\}$ and let $a$ to belong to this set. In addition, let some $p^{*}>a$ also belongs to $m_{p}$. From the analysis of the mathematical experiment follows, that $a$ is also a primitive root for $p^{*}$. In this way, the scheme has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \rightarrow p^{*} \rightarrow p \Rightarrow a \rightarrow p \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If this transitive "law" turns out to be correct, then additional information will appear on the laws of formation of the set of primes for which $a$ is a primitive root. So in the Artin's hypothesis, based on the data of experimental mathematics, two facts are established:

1) for any $p \in P$ a set

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{p}=\left\{m_{1 \cdot p}, m_{2 \cdot p}, \ldots, m_{\varphi(p-1) \cdot p}\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

divide into pairs in which the recursion on the basis of one element is the inverse of the recursion of the other element of the pair. The pairs can be formed by two prime numbers, two compound and one simple and one compound. It is necessary to prove this fact analytically. For the existence of an inversion it is necessary and sufficient that in any pair $\left(m_{1 \cdot p}, m_{2 \cdot p}\right)$ first element of recursion $m_{1}$ is equal to the last in $m_{2}$ and vice versa. This implies the equality of two recursions. The conditions under which it happens are probably easy to establish. It is more difficult to prove that the recursions coincide under inversion.
2) Suppose, that is $a-$ is a primitive root for all $p \in P_{a}=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{a}\right\}$.

Prove it: Let $a \rightarrow p_{i}$ and $p_{i} \rightarrow p_{j}=a \rightarrow p_{i}$ if $a<p_{i}<p_{j}$.

There is transitivity. It is entirely possible that this is transferred to the theory of finite fields, elliptic curves, and modular forms.

An important question: how to find a module $m$ such that the residues of this module by $P_{a}$ differ from the residues of this module on the set $P-P_{a}$. The question of the existence of such a module is still open. It is possible that there is a system
of modules $\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{x}\right\}$ the residues over which have properties that are defined by some function like $f\left(Z_{m_{l}}, \ldots, Z_{m_{k}}\right)$. This may be due to the Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progression. The question of whether it can be generalized to a system of arithmetic progressions remains open.

## III. CONCLUSIONS

Analyzing primitive roots, it was found that there are pairs of primitive roots in which recursion on the basis of one element is an inversion of the recursion of another element of the pair. If we explain this point in an analytical way, we will get additional information on the laws of the formation of a set of primes for which $a$ is a primitive root. The processes of interaction of recursive cycles between different pairs of primitive roots of a prime number $p$. It is proved that dynamic processes have a chaotic nature, the investigations of which are an important task of theories of dynamical systems.
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